Stephanie J. Grant, OSB No. 154957

TONKON TORP LLP

888 SW Fifth Ave., Suite 1600

Portland, OR 97204

Direct: 503.802.5736 Facsimile: 503.274.8779

Email: stephanie.grant@tonkon.com

Christopher E. Hart (pro hac vice)
Anthony D. Mirenda (pro hac vice)
Andrew Loewenstein (pro hac vice)
Allen M. Thigpen (pro hac vice)
FOLEY HOAG LLP
155 Seaport Boulevard
Boston, MA 02210
(617) 832-1000
chart@foleyhoag.com
adm@foleyhoag.com
aloewenstein@foleyhoag.com
athigpen@foleyhoag.com

Carmen Cheung (pro hac vice)
Claret Vargas (pro hac vice)
Daniel McLaughlin
CENTER FOR JUSTICE AND ACCOUNTABILITY
268 Bush St. #3432
San Francisco, CA 94104
(415) 544-0444
dmclaughlin@cja.org
cvargas@cja.org
ccheung@cja.org

David Greene (pro hac vice)
Sophia Cope (pro hac vice)
ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION
San Francisco, CA 94109
(415) 436-9333
davidg@eff.org
sophia@eff.org

Attorneys for Plaintiff Loujain Hathloul Alhathloul

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF OREGON

PORTLAND DIVISION

LOUJAIN HATHLOUL ALHATHLOUL,

Civil No. 3:21-cv-01787-IM

Plaintiff.

v.

DARKMATTER GROUP, MARC BAIER, RYAN ADAMS, and DANIEL GERICKE MOTION TO STAY BRIEFING ON DEFENDANTS' FORTHCOMING MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT PENDING THE EN BANC NINTH CIRCUIT'S DECISION IN BRISKIN V. SHOPIFY, INC.

Defendants.

_ ------

LOCAL RULE 7-1 CERTIFICATION

Undersigned counsel certifies that counsel for Plaintiff conferred with counsel for Defendant DarkMatter Group ("DarkMatter") and counsel for the individual Defendants, and the parties were not able to reach agreement. DarkMatter and individual Defendants did not agree to a stay of the forthcoming briefing on Defendants' renewed motions to dismiss pending the *en banc* Ninth Circuit's decision in *Briskin v. Shopify, Inc.* unless Plaintiff waived the possibility of additional jurisdictional discovery in light of that decision.

MOTION

Plaintiff requests that the Court stay the forthcoming briefing on Defendants' motion to dismiss pending the Ninth Circuit's *en banc* decision in *Briskin v. Shopify, Inc.*

Since the Court granted Plaintiff's Motion for Limited Jurisdictional Discovery on February 8, 2024 (ECF 76) and ruled on Plaintiff's Motion to Compel on July 17, 2024 (ECF 113), the parties have worked collaboratively to conduct jurisdictional discovery in this matter. The parties have now completed the second day of depositions for all four deponents, thus

PAGE 2 –MOTION TO STAY MOTION TO DISMISS BRIEFING PENDING THE NINTH CIRCUIT'S *EN BANC* DECISION IN *BRISKIN V. SHOPIFY, INC.*

706 (9th Cir. 2024).

On November 28, 2023, one month after the parties briefed Defendants' motion to dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the Ninth Circuit issued its decision in Briskin v. Shopify, Inc., 87 F.4th 404 (9th Cir. 2023), reh'g en banc granted, opinion vacated, 101 F.4th 706 (9th Cir. 2024). When granting Plaintiff's Motion for Limited Jurisdictional Discovery, this Court noted that the *Briskin* decision was "perhaps the first federal appellate opinion in this country addressing 'the personal jurisdiction inquiry in cases . . . based on the extraction of . . . data" and permitted Plaintiff to proceed with jurisdictional discovery concerning "facts relevant to the purposeful direction framework outlined in Briskin." ECF 80 at 1, 7. Like the present case, Briskin featured allegations of internet-related conduct, including the extraction and retention of data. The Court thereby recognized that it is important that the parties "establish a concrete record in accord with *Briskin*'s reasoning." ECF 80 at 7 (citing *Doe v. WebGroup* Czech Republic, A.S., 89 F.4th 1188, 1204–05 (9th Cir. 2024) (Lee, J., concurring); Good Job Games Bilism Yazilim Ve Pazarlama A.S. v. SayGames, LLC, No. 20-16123, 2021 WL 5861279, at *1 (9th Cir. Dec. 10, 2021)).

On May 14, 2024, the Ninth Circuit granted a rehearing en banc in the Briskin case and vacated the panel's decision. Briskin v. Shopify, Inc., 101 F.4th 706 (9th Cir. 2024). The en banc Ninth Circuit heard arguments in Briskin on September 26, 2024, expressing doubts about whether the panel was correct in affirming the lower court's dismissal of the claims against

PAGE 3 –MOTION TO STAY MOTION TO DISMISS BRIEFING PENDING THE NINTH CIRCUIT'S EN BANC DECISION IN BRISKIN V. SHOPIFY, INC.

Shopify on personal jurisdiction grounds. Rachel Scharf, *En Banc 9th Cir. Doubts Dismissal of Shopify Privacy Suit*, LAW360 (Sept. 26, 2024), https://www.law360.com/articles/1883149/en-banc-9th-circ-doubts-dismissal-of-shopify-privacy-suit.

The forthcoming opinion from the *en banc* Ninth Circuit in *Briskin* is expected to clarify the appropriate rule or standard that should govern the evaluation of specific personal jurisdiction over a defendant for a claim arising out of conduct on the internet. The outcome in the *en banc* case will bear on the proper method for evaluating whether the Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants in the present case.

This request will not prejudice any of the parties, and it is made in good faith and not for purposes of delay.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court stay briefing on Defendants' forthcoming motion to dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint pending a decision from the *en banc* Ninth Circuit in *Briskin*.

Dated: November 27, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

TONKON TORP LLP Stephanie J. Grant, OSB No. 154957 Stephanie.grant@tonkon.com

/s/ Christopher E. Hart

Christopher E. Hart (pro hac vice)
Anthony D. Mirenda (pro hac vice)
Andrew Loewenstein (pro hac vice)
Allen M. Thigpen (pro hac vice)
FOLEY HOAG LLP
155 Seaport Boulevard
Boston, MA 02210
(617) 832-1000
chart@foleyhoag.com
adm@foleyhoag.com

aloewenstein@foleyhoag.com athigpen@foleyhoag.com

Carmen Cheung (pro hac vice) Claret Vargas (pro hac vice) Daniel McLaughlin CENTER FOR JUSTICE AND ACCOUNTABILITY 268 Bush St. #3432 San Francisco, CA 94104 (415) 544-0444 dmclaughlin@cja.org cvargas@cja.org ccheung@cja.org

David Greene (pro hac vice) Sophia Cope (pro hac vice) ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION San Francisco, CA 94109 (415) 436-9333 davidg@eff.org sophia@eff.org

Attorneys for Plaintiff